

Recursion in C

What does the following program compute?

```
int f(int n, int v) {
    if (n == 0)
        return v;
    else
        return f(n - 1, v + n);
}

int main() { return f(1000000, 0); }
```

Answer: stack overflow

Loops in C

What does the following program compute?

```
int main() {  
    int n = 1000000;  
    int v = 0;  
  
    while (n > 0) {  
        v = v + n;  
        n = n - 1;  
    }  
  
    return v;  
}
```

Answer: the sum of 0 to 1000000 (in 32-bit two's complement)

Recursion in the Book Language

What does the following program compute?

```
letrec f = proc(n, v)  
    if n  
        then (f -(n,1) +(n, v))  
        else v  
in (f 1000000 0)
```

Answer: the sum of 0 to 1000000

Recursion in the Book Language

Why don't we get a stack overflow in the book language?

- This is actually a question about Scheme:
 - We implement recursion for the book language by using Scheme's recursion
 - Similarly, we use Scheme numbers to implement numbers for the book language
- Such an explanation/implementation is called ***meta-circular***
- We don't care so much about numbers, but we don't want to explain away recursion; we want to understand recursion (in Scheme and the book language)

Recursion in Scheme

What does the following program compute?

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))

(f 1000000 0)
```

Answer: the sum of 0 to 1000000

How?

Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))
```

```
(f 1000000 0)
```

→

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))
```

```
(if (zero? 1000000)
    0
    (f (- 1000000 1) (+ 1000000 0)))
```

Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))
```

```
(if (zero? 1000000)
    0
    (f (- 1000000 1) (+ 1000000 0)))
```

→

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))
```

```
(f (- 1000000 1) (+ 1000000 0))
```

Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))

(f (- 1000000 1) (+ 1000000 0))
```

→

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))

(f 999999 1000000)
```


Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))
```

```
(f 999999 1000000)
```

→

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))
```

```
(if (zero? 999999)
    1000000
    (f (- 999999 1) (+ 999999 1000000)))
```

Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))
```

```
(if (zero? 999999)
    1000000
    (f (- 999999 1) (+ 999999 1000000)))
```

→

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))
```

```
(f (- 999999 1) (+ 999999 1000000))
```

Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))

(f (- 999999 1) (+ 999999 1000000))
```

→

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))

(f 999998 1999999)
```

Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))
```

```
(f 999998 1999999)
```

→

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))
```

...

Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))
```

...

→

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))
```

```
(if (zero? 0)
    500000500000
    (f (- 0 1) (+ 0 500000500000)))
```

Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))
```

```
(if (zero? 0)
    500000500000
    (f (- 0 1) (+ 0 500000500000)))
```

→

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))
```

500000500000

Recursion in Scheme

- Our definition of Scheme says nothing about a *stack*
- Each step from a small program produces a small program...
- We can forget the old small program after each step...
- So there's no reason to run out of anything!
- Does that mean that we can write anything, without worrying about running out of space?

Recursion in Scheme

What does the following program compute?

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))

(f2 1000000)
```

Answer: out of memory, maybe

Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))
```

```
(f2 1000000)
```

→

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))
```

```
(if (zero? 1000000)
    0
    (+ 1000000 (f2 (- 1000000 1))))
```

Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))

(if (zero? 1000000)
    0
    (+ 1000000 (f2 (- 1000000 1))))
```

→

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))

(+ 1000000 (f2 (- 1000000 1)))
```

Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))
(+ 1000000 (f2 (- 1000000 1)))
```

→

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))
(+ 1000000 (f2 999999))
```

Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))
```

```
(+ 1000000 (f2 999999))
```

→

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))
```

```
(+ 1000000
  (if (zero? 999999)
      0
      (+ 999999 (f2 (- 999999 1)))))
```

Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))
```

```
(+ 1000000
  (if (zero? 999999)
      0
      (+ 999999 (f2 (- 999999 1)))))
```

→

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))
```

```
(+ 1000000
  (+ 999999 (f2 (- 999999 1))))
```

Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))

(+ 1000000
   (+ 999999 (f2 (- 999999 1))))
```

→

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))

(+ 1000000
   (+ 999999 (f2 999998)))
```

Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))
```

```
(+ 1000000
   (+ 999999 (f2 999998)))
```

→

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))
```

...

Recursion in Scheme

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))
```

...

→

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))

(+ 1000000
  (+ 999999
    (+ 999998 ... (+ 1 0))))
```


The Cost of Recursion

- Computing $(\text{fib } n)$ takes $O(1)$ space
- Computing $(\text{fib2 } n)$ takes $O(n)$ space
- In Scheme, we write loops and more general forms of recursion in the same way, but there's still a difference in costs
- How does a Scheme programmer write a loop?

Loops in Scheme

- A function (or set of functions) acts like a loop if every self-call is a *tail call*
- A tail call is a function call whose value is the final result for the caller

```
(define (f n v)
  (if (zero? n)
      v
      (f (- n 1) (+ n v))))
```

a tail call

Loops in Scheme

- A function (or set of functions) acts like a loop if every self-call is a *tail call*
- A tail call is a function call whose value is the final result for the caller

```
(define (f2 n)
  (if (zero? n)
      0
      (+ n (f2 (- n 1)))))
```

not a tail call

Loops in Scheme

- A function (or set of functions) acts like a loop if every self-call is a *tail call*
- A tail call is a function call whose value is the final result for the caller

```
(define (odd n)
  (if (zero? n)
      #f
      (even (- n 1))))
(define (even n)
  (if (zero? n)
      #t
      (odd (- n 1))))
```

tail calls

Implementing Control Explicitly

- Ok, so how is it done?
- We'll change our interpreter to make control explicit
- Let's first see what a trace of evaluation should look like

Evaluation

1

exp= 1
env= {}

Done!

Evaluation

$+(1, 2)$

exp= $+(1, 2)$

env= $\{\}$

exp= 1

env= $\{\}$

Done?

Evaluation

$+(1, 2)$

exp= $+(1, 2)$

env= $\{\}$

exp= 1

env= $\{\}$

exp= 2

env= $\{\}$

How do we know when we're done?

How do we know what's left to do?

Evaluation with To-Do List

1

exp= 1

env= {}

todo= [done]

- Keep a to-do list, passed to evaluator

Evaluation with To-Do List

1

exp= 1

env= {}

todo= [done]

val= 1

todo= [done]

- When we get a value, go into to-do-checking mode

Evaluation with To-Do List

1

exp= 1

env= {}

todo= [done]

val= 1

todo= [done]

Done!

Evaluation with To-Do List

$+(1, 2)$

exp= $+(1, 2)$

env= $\{\}$

todo= $[\text{done}]$

exp= 1

env= $\{\}$

todo= $[\text{addexp } 2 \text{ in } \{\} \text{ then } [\text{done}]]$

- When evaluating sub-expressions, extend the to-do list
- **addexp** is an abbreviation for:
*remember the result, evaluate another expression,
then add the two results*

Evaluation with To-Do List

$+(1, 2)$

exp= $+(1, 2)$

env= $\{\}$

todo= $[\text{done}]$

exp= 1

env= $\{\}$

todo= $[\text{addexp } 2 \text{ in } \{\} \text{ then } [\text{done}]]$

val= 1

todo= $[\text{addexp } 2 \text{ in } \{\} \text{ then } [\text{done}]]$

Evaluation with To-Do List

val= 1

todo= [addexp 2 in {} then [done]]

exp= 2

env= {}

todo= [addval 1 then [done]]

- To do **addexp**, we start evaluating the remembered expression in the remembered environment
- Extend to-do list to remember the value we already have, and remember to do an addition later
- **addval** is an abbreviation for:
add the result with a remembered result

Evaluation with To-Do List

val= 1

todo= [addexp 2 in {} then [done]]

exp= 2

env= {}

todo= [addval 1 then [done]]

val= 2

todo= [addval 1 then [done]]

val= 3

todo= [done]

Done!

Evaluation with To-Do List

$+(1, +(2, 3))$

exp= $+(1, +(2, 3))$

env= $\{\}$

todo= $[\text{done}]$

Evaluation with To-Do List

$+(1, +(2, 3))$

exp= $+(1, +(2, 3))$

env= $\{\}$

todo= $[\text{done}]$

exp= 1

env= $\{\}$

todo= $[\text{addexp } +(2, 3) \text{ in } \{\} \text{ then } [\text{done}]]$

Evaluation with To-Do List

$+(1, +(2, 3))$

exp= 1

env= {}

todo= [addexp +(2, 3) in {} then [done]]

val= 1

todo= [addexp +(2, 3) in {} then [done]]

Evaluation with To-Do List

$+(1, +(2, 3))$

val= 1

todo= [addexp +(2, 3) in {} then [done]]

exp= +(2, 3)

env= {}

todo= [addval 1 then [done]]

Evaluation with To-Do List

$+(1, +(2, 3))$

exp= $+(2, 3)$

env= $\{\}$

todo= $[\text{addval } 1 \text{ then } [\text{done}]]$

exp= 2

env= $\{\}$

todo= $[\text{addexp } 3 \text{ in } \{\} \text{ then } [\text{addval } 1 \text{ then } [\text{done}]]]$

Evaluation with To-Do List

$+(1, +(2, 3))$

exp= 2

env= {}

todo= [addexp 3 in {} then [addval 1 then [done]]]

val= 2

todo= [addexp 3 in {} then [addval 1 then [done]]]

Evaluation with To-Do List

$+(1, +(2, 3))$

val= 2

todo= [addexp 3 in {} then [addval 1 then [done]]]

exp= 3

env= {}

todo= [addval 2 then [addval 1 then [done]]]

Evaluation with To-Do List

$+(1, +(2, 3))$

exp= 3

env= {}

todo= [addval 2 then [addval 1 then [done]]]

val= 3

todo= [addval 2 then [addval 1 then [done]]]

Evaluation with To-Do List

$+(1, +(2, 3))$

val= 3

todo= [addval 2 then [addval 1 then [done]]]

val= 5

todo= [addval 1 then [done]]

Evaluation with To-Do List

$+(1, +(2, 3))$

val= 5

todo= [addval 1 then [done]]

val= 6

todo= [done]

Evaluation with To-Do List

```
let f = proc(y)y  
in (f 10)
```

exp= let f = proc(y)y in (f 10)

env= {}

todo= [done]

Evaluation with To-Do List

```
let f = proc(y)y
in (f 10)
```

exp= let f = proc(y)y in (f 10)

env= {}

todo= [done]

exp= proc(y)y

env= {}

todo= [let f in (f 10) {} then [done]]

Evaluation with To-Do List

```
let f = proc(y)y  
in (f 10)
```

exp= proc(y)y

env= {}

todo= [let f in (f 10) {} then [done]]

val= <y,y,{}>

todo= [let f in (f 10) {} then [done]]

Evaluation with To-Do List

```
let f = proc(y)y  
in (f 10)
```

val= <y,y,{}>

todo= [let f in (f 10) {} then [done]]

exp= (f 10)

env= {f=<y,y,{}>}

todo= [done]

Evaluation with To-Do List

```
let f = proc(y)y  
in (f 10)
```

exp= (f 10)

env= {f=<y,y,{}>}

todo= [done]

exp= f

env= {f=<y,y,{}>}

todo= [apparg 10 in {f=<y,y,{}>} then [done]]

Evaluation with To-Do List

```
let f = proc(y)y
in (f 10)
```

exp= f

env= {f=<y,y,{}>}

todo= [apparg 10 in {f=<y,y,{}>} then [done]]

val= <y,y,{}>

todo= [apparg 10 in {f=<y,y,{}>} then [done]]

Evaluation with To-Do List

```
let f = proc(y)y  
in (f 10)
```

val= <y,y,{}>

todo= [apparg 10 in {f=<y,y,{}>} then [done]]

exp= 10

env= {f=<y,y,{}>}

todo= [app <y,y,{}> then [done]]

Evaluation with To-Do List

```
let f = proc(y)y
in (f 10)
```

exp= 10

env= {f=<y,y,{}>}

todo= [app <y,y,{}> then [done]]

val= 10

todo= [app <y,y,{}> then [done]]

Evaluation with To-Do List

```
let f = proc(y)y  
in (f 10)
```

val= 10

todo= [app <y,y,{}> then [done]]

exp= y

env= {y=10}

todo= [done]

Evaluation with To-Do List

```
let f = proc(y)y  
in (f 10)
```

exp= y

env= {y=10}

todo= [done]

val= 10

todo= [done]

To-Do Lists

- To-do list is called the *continuation*
- It makes the Scheme context in our interpreter explicit

The interpreter now consists of two main functions:

- `eval-expression : expr env cont -> expval`

`exp= 1`

`env= {}`

`todo= [done]`

- `apply-cont : value cont -> expval`

`val= 1`

`todo= [done]`

Continuation Datatype

```
(define-datatype
 continuation continuation?
 (done-cont)
 (app-arg-cont (rand expression?)
               (env environment?)
               (cont continuation?))
 (app-cont (rator value?)
           (cont continuation?))
 ...)
```

Continuation Datatype

[done]

=

(done-cont)

[addval 1 then [done]]

=

(prim-cont (add-prim) 1 (done-cont))

[addexp y in {y=10} then [done]]

=

(prim-other-cont
 (add-prim) (var-exp 'y)
 (extend-env '(y) '(10) (empty-env))
 (done-cont))

Continuation Datatype

[let f in (f 10) {} then [done]]

=

```
(let-cont 'f (app-exp (var-exp 'f)
                     (list-exp 10))
         (empty-env)
         (done-cont))
```

Interpreter

```
(define eval-program
  (lambda (pgm)
    (cases program pgm
      (a-program (body)
        (eval-expression body
                          (init-env)
                          (done-cont))))))
```


Interpreter

```
(define (eval-expression exp env cont)
  (cases expression exp
    (lit-exp (datum)
      (apply-cont cont datum))
    (var-exp (id)
      (apply-cont cont (apply-env env id)))
    (proc-exp (id body-exp)
      (apply-cont cont
        (closure id body-exp env)))
    ...)))
```

```
(define (apply-cont cont val)
  (cases continuation cont
    (done-cont () val)
    ...))
```

Interpreter: Let

... ; in eval-expression:

```
(let-exp (id exp body-exp)
  (eval-expression
    exp env
    (let-cont id body-exp env cont)))
```

...

... ; in apply-cont:

```
(let-cont (id body env cont)
  (eval-expression
    body (extend-env (list id) (list val)
      env)
    cont))
```

...

Interpreter: Primitives

... ; in eval-expression:

```
(primapp-exp (prim rand1 rand2)
  (eval-expression
    rand1 env
    (prim-other-cont prim rand2 env cont)))
```

...

... ; in apply-cont:

```
(prim-other-cont (prim arg2 env cont)
  (eval-expression
    arg2 env
    (prim-cont prim val cont)))
(prim-cont (prim arg1-val cont)
  (apply-cont
    cont
    (apply-primitive prim arg1-val val)))
```

...

Interpreter: Application

... ; in eval-expression:

```
(app-exp (rator rand)
  (eval-expression
    rator env
    (app-arg-cont rand env cont)))
```

...

... ; in apply-cont:

```
(app-arg-cont (rand env cont)
  (eval-expression rand env
    (app-cont val cont)))

(app-cont (f cont)
  (apply-proc f val cont))
```

...

Interpreter: If

```
... ; in eval-expression:  
(if-exp (test then else)  
  (eval-expression  
    test env  
    (if-cont then else env cont)))  
  
...  
  
... ; in apply-cont:  
(if-cont (then else env cont)  
  (eval-expression  
    (if (zero? val) else then)  
    env cont))  
  
...
```

Interpreter: Complete Implementation

(in DrScheme, instrumented to show traces)

Variable and Control Stacks

One more way to look at continuations:

- environment = variable stack
- continuation = control stack

In most imperative languages (e.g., C, Java), the variable stack and control stack are merged

That's why a special form is needed for loops